

Hampshire Fire and  
Rescue Authority  
Audit planning report  
Year ended 31 March 2019

January 2019



Private and Confidential  
Standards and Governance  
Committee  
Hampshire Fire & Rescue  
Authority  
Headquarters  
Leigh Road  
Eastleigh  
SO50 9SJ

4 January 2019

Dear Members

#### Audit planning report

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as your auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Standards and Governance Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2018/19 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Authority and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Standards and Governance Committee, Board of Directors and management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this report with you on 31<sup>st</sup> January 2019 as well as understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Maria Grindley  
Associate Partner  
For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

# Contents



Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued the "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies". It is available from the PSAA website (<https://www.psa.co.uk/audit-quality/statement-of-responsibilities/>). The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The "Terms of Appointment and further guidance (updated April 2018)" issued by the PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and in legislation, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This report is made solely to the Standards and Governance Committee and management of Hampshire Fire & Rescue Authority in accordance with the statement of responsibilities. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Standards and Governance Committee and management of Hampshire Fire & Rescue Authority those matters we are required to state to them in this report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Standards and Governance Committee and management of Hampshire Fire & Rescue Authority for this report or for the opinions we have formed. It should not be provided to any third-party without our prior written consent.





01

# Overview of our 2018/19 audit strategy





# Overview of our 2018/19 audit strategy

The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant accounting and auditing matters outlined in this report. It seeks to provide the Standards and Governance Committee with an overview of our initial risk identification for the upcoming audit and any changes in risks identified in the current year.

## Audit risks and areas of focus

Risk / area of focus	Risk identified	Change from PY	Details
Misstatements due to fraud or error	Fraud and significant risk	No change in risk of focus	As identified in ISA 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that would otherwise appear to be operating effectively.
Valuation of Land and Buildings	Inherent risk	No change in risk or focus.	The fair value of Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE) represents a significant balance in the Authority's accounts and are subject to valuation changes, impairment reviews and depreciation charges. Management is required to make material judgements and apply estimation techniques to calculate the year-end balances recorded in the balance sheet.
Pension Liability Valuation	Inherent Risk	No change in risk of focus	The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice and IAS19 require the Authority to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme administered by the County Council. The Authority's pension fund asset is a material estimated balance and the Code requires that this asset be disclosed on the Authority's balance sheet. The information disclosed is based on the IAS 19 report issued to the Authority by the actuary. Accounting for this scheme involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf. ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates.
New Accounting Standards	Inherent risk	New risk identified this year.	IFRS 9 (Financial Instruments) and IFRS 15 (Revenue from contracts) apply from 1 April 2018. We will assess the impact of these new standards to determine whether they have been appropriately implemented by the Authority.

# Overview of our 2018/19 audit strategy

## Materiality

Planning  
materiality  
£2.01m

Planning Materiality has been set at £2.01m (£2.01m in 2017/18) which represents 2% of 2017/18 gross expenditure

Audit  
differences  
£0.1m

We will report all uncorrected misstatements relating to primary statements greater than £100,613 (£100,613 in 2017/18). Other misstatements identified will be communicated to the extent that they merit the attention of the Standards and Governance Committee.

Performance  
materiality  
£1.51m

Performance materiality has been set at £1.51m (£1.51m in 2017/18) which represents 75% of Planning Materiality.

## Audit scope

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2019 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness;

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO), to the extent and in the form required by them, on the Authority's Whole of Government Accounts return.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- the quality of systems and processes;
- changes in the business and regulatory environment; and,
- management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit focuses on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Authority.

We will provide an update to the Standards and Governance Committee on the results of our work in these areas in our report to those charged with governance scheduled for delivery in July 2019.



02

# Audit risks



## Our response to significant risks (continued)

We have set out the significant risks identified for the current year audit along with the rationale and expected audit approach. The risks identified below may change to reflect any significant findings or subsequent issues we identify during the audit.

Misstatements due to fraud or error

### Financial statement impact

Misstatements that occur in relation to the risk of fraud due to management override could affect a number of areas of the financial statements.

### What is the risk?

The financial statements as a whole are not free of material misstatements whether caused by fraud or error.

As identified in ISA (UK) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

### What will we do?

- Inquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls put in place to address those risks.
- Understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud.
- Consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud.

Performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks, including:

- Testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements
- Assessing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias, and
- Evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions.

Having evaluated this risk we have considered whether we need to perform other audit procedures not referred to above. We concluded that no such procedures are required.



## Audit risks

### Other areas of audit focus

We have identified other areas of the audit, that have not been classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures and therefore may be key audit matters we will include in our audit report.

#### What is the risk/area of focus?

##### Valuation of Land and Buildings

The fair value of Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE) represents a significant balance in the Authority's accounts and is subject to valuation changes, impairment reviews and depreciation charges. Management is required to make material judgemental inputs and apply estimation techniques to calculate the year-end balances recorded in the balance sheet..

##### Pension Liability Valuation

The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice and IAS19 require the CC to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) administered by Hampshire County Council. The Authority must also do similar in respect of the Firefighters Pension Fund. The Firefighters pension fund deficit is a material estimated balance and the Code requires that this liability be disclosed on the respective balance sheet. At 31 March 2018 this totalled £736.7 million.

The information disclosed is based on the IAS 19 report issued to the Authority by the actuary to the County Council and also the Firefighters Pension Fund. Accounting for these schemes involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf. ISAs (UK) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates.

#### What will we do?

##### We will:

- Consider the work performed by the Authority's valuer, including the adequacy of the scope of the work performed, their professional capabilities and the results of their work;
- Sample testing key asset information used by the valuer in performing their valuation (e.g. floor plans to support valuations based on price per square metre);
- Consider the annual cycle of valuations to ensure that assets have been valued within a 5 year rolling programme as required for PPE. We have also considered if there are any specific changes to assets that have occurred and that these have been communicated to the valuer;
- Review assets not subject to valuation in 2018-19 to confirm that the remaining asset base is not materially misstated;
- Consider changes to useful economic lives as a result of the most recent valuation; and
- Test that accounting entries have been correctly processed in the financial statements.

##### We will:

- Liaise with the auditors of Hampshire Pension Fund, to obtain assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to Hampshire Fire & Rescue LGPS members;
- Assess the work of the Pension Fund actuary (Aon Hewitt) and the Firefighters pension actuary (also Aon Hewitt) including the assumptions they have used by relying on the work of PWC - Consulting Actuaries commissioned by the National Audit Office for all Local Government sector auditors, and considering any relevant reviews by the EY actuarial team; and
- Review and test the accounting entries and disclosures made within the Authority's financial statements in relation to IAS19.

## Other areas of audit focus

We have identified other areas of the audit, that have not been classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures and therefore may be key audit matters we will include in our audit report.

What is the risk/area of focus?	What will we do?
<p>New Accounting standards</p> <p><u>IFRS 9 financial instruments</u></p> <p>This new accounting standard is applicable for the authority's accounts from the 2018/19 financial year and will change:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How financial assets are classified and measured;</li> <li>• How the impairment of financial assets are calculated; and</li> <li>• The disclosure requirements for financial assets.</li> </ul> <p>There are transitional arrangements within the standard; and the 2018/19 CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority accounting provides guidance on the application of IFRS 9.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess the authority's implementation arrangements that should include an impact assessment paper setting out the application of the new standard, transitional adjustments and planned accounting for 2018/19;</li> <li>• Consider whether relevant assets have been appropriately classified and valued;</li> <li>• Review new expected credit loss model impairment calculations for assets; and</li> <li>• Ensure that, subject to materiality, additional disclosure requirements have been met.</li> </ul>
<p><u>IFRS 15 Revenue from contracts with customers</u></p> <p>This new accounting standard is applicable for authority's accounts from the 2018/19 financial year.</p> <p>The key requirements of the standard cover the identification of performance obligations under customer contracts and the linking of income to the meeting of those performance obligations.</p> <p>The 2018/19 CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority accounting provides guidance on the application of IFRS 15 and includes a useful flow diagram and commentary on the main sources of LG revenue and how they should be recognised.</p> <p>The impact on local authority accounting is likely to be limited as large revenue streams like council tax, non domestic rates and government grants will be outside the scope of IFRS 15. However where that standard is relevant, the recognition of revenue will change and new disclosure requirements introduced.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assess the authority's implementation arrangements that should include an impact assessment paper setting out the application of the new standard, transitional adjustments and planned accounting for 2018/19;</li> <li>• Consider application to the authority's revenue streams, and where the standard is relevant test to ensure revenue is recognised when (or as) it satisfies a performance obligation; and</li> <li>• Ensure that, subject to materiality, additional disclosure requirements have been met.</li> </ul>





03

# Value for Money Risks



## Value for money risks

### Background

We are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

For 2018/19 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people."

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

We are only required to determine whether there are any risks that we consider significant, which the Code of Audit Practice defines as:

"A matter is significant if, in the auditor's professional view, it is reasonable to conclude that the matter would be of interest to the audited body or the wider public."

Our risk assessment supports the planning of sufficient work to enable us to deliver a safe conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and enables us to determine the nature and extent of further work that may be required. If we do not identify any significant risks there is no requirement to carry out further work. We consider business and operational risks insofar as they relate to proper arrangements at both sector and organisation-specific level. In 2018/19 this has included consideration of the steps taken by the Authority to consider the impact of Brexit on its future service provision, medium-term financing and investment values. Although the precise impact cannot yet be modelled, we anticipate that Authorities will be carrying out scenario planning and that Brexit and its impact will feature on operational risk registers.

Our risk assessment has therefore considered both the potential financial impact of the issues we have identified, and also the likelihood that the issue will be of interest to local taxpayers, the Government and other stakeholders. This has resulted in the identification of no significant risks which we view as relevant to our value for money conclusion.





04

# Audit materiality




# Materiality

## Materiality

For planning purposes, materiality for 2018-19 has been set at £2.01m and £354k for the pension fund. This represents 2% of the Authority's gross expenditure and benefits payable respectively. It will be reassessed throughout the audit process and once the draft 2018-19 statements have been prepared. This is based on the rationale that's public sector organisation do not have a focus on earnings profits. We consider industry factors, and using gross revenue expenditure is the industry norm.

Main Statements:



We request that the Standards and Governance Committee confirm its understanding of, and agreement to, these materiality and reporting levels.

## Key definitions

**Planning materiality** – the amount over which we anticipate misstatements would influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements.

**Performance materiality** – the amount we use to determine the extent of our audit procedures. We have set performance materiality at £1.51m for the primary statements and £265k for the Firefighters pension which represents 75% of planning materiality. We apply 75% when it is not an initial audit and we have a sound understanding of the entity and past experience with the engagement indicates that a higher risk of misstatement is unlikely.

**Audit difference threshold** – we propose that misstatements identified below this threshold are deemed clearly trivial. We will report to you all uncorrected misstatements over this amount relating to the comprehensive income and expenditure statement, balance sheet and firefighters' pension fund financial statements that have an effect on income or that relate to other comprehensive income.

Other uncorrected misstatements, such as reclassifications and misstatements in the cashflow statement and movement in reserves statements or disclosures, and corrected misstatements will be communicated to the extent that they merit the attention of the Standards and Governance Committee, or are important from a qualitative perspective.





05

## Scope of our audit



## Our Audit Process and Strategy

### Objective and Scope of our Audit scoping

Under the Code of Audit Practice our principal objectives are to review and report on the Authority's financial statements and arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue an audit report that covers:

#### 1. Financial statement audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK).

We also perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

##### Procedures required by standards

- Addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- Significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- Entity-wide controls;
- Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- Auditor independence.

##### Procedures required by the Code

- Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement; and
- Reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO.

#### 2. Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness (value for money)

We are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources.



## Our Audit Process and Strategy (continued)

### Audit Process Overview

Our audit involves:

- Identifying and understanding the key processes and internal controls; and
- Substantive tests of detail of transactions and amounts.

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Authority has identified the following key processes where we will seek to rely on controls, both manual and IT:

- Accounts receivable;
- Accounts payable;
- Payroll;
- Cash and Bank.

Analytics:

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Standards and Governance Committee.

Internal audit:

We will regularly meet with the Head of Internal Audit, and review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our detailed audit plan where they raise issues that could have an impact on the financial statements.



06

Audit team





# Audit team

## Audit team structure:

Maria Grindley\*  
Associate Partner

Martin Young  
Manager

Jack Dunkley  
Assistant Manager

Maria Davison  
Senior

Property  
Valuation Team

Pension  
Specialist

EY Real Estate  
Specialists as  
required

EY Actuaries

## Working together with the Authority

We are working together with officers to identify continuing improvements in communication and processes for the 2018/19 audit.

We will continue to keep our audit approach under review to streamline it where possible.

\* Key Audit Partner

## Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to use the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where EY specialists are expected to provide input for the current year audit are:

Area	Specialists
Pension valuation	Management Specialist – AoN Hewitt. EY Specialist - EY actuaries
PPE valuation	Management Specialist - Management's in-house valuation experts. EY Specialist - EY real estates will be used if our risk assessment of the PPE procedures deem this appropriate.

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Authority's business and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the specialist to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- Assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.





07

## Audit timeline







# Audit timeline

## Timetable of communication and deliverables

### Timeline

Below is a timetable showing the key stages of the audit and the deliverables we have agreed to provide to you through the audit cycle in 2018/19.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Standards and Governance Committee and we will discuss them with the Standards and Governance Committee Chair as appropriate. We will also provide updates on corporate governance and regulatory matters as necessary.

Audit phase	Timetable	Committee timetable	Deliverables
Planning: Risk assessment and setting of scopes.	November		
Walkthrough of key systems and processes	November - December		
Testing of routine processes and controls	December		
Testing of routine processes and controls	January	Standards and Governance Committee	Audit Planning Report
FAIT IT Systems Testing visit 1	February		
Interim audit testing	February - March		
FAIT IT Systems Testing visit 2	April		
Year end audit Audit Completion procedures	June/July	Standards and Governance Committee	Audit Results Report Audit opinions and completion certificates
Year end audit Audit Completion procedures	July - August	Standards and Governance Committee	Annual Audit Letter





08

# Independence



## Introduction

The FRC Ethical Standard and ISA (UK) 260 “Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance”, requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear upon our integrity, objectivity and independence. The Ethical Standard, as revised in June 2016, requires that we communicate formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the course of the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

### Required communications

Planning stage	Final stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by Ernst &amp; Young (EY) including consideration of all relationships between the you, your affiliates and directors and us;</li> <li>▶ The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality review;</li> <li>▶ The overall assessment of threats and safeguards;</li> <li>▶ Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence.</li> <li>▶ Where EY has determined it is appropriate to apply more restrictive independence rules than permitted under the Ethical Standard</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ In order for you to assess the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm and each covered person, we are required to provide a written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that may bear on our integrity, objectivity and independence. This is required to have regard to relationships with the entity, its directors and senior management, its affiliates, and its connected parties and the threats to integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence that these create. We are also required to disclose any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed;</li> <li>▶ Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto;</li> <li>▶ Written confirmation that the firm and each covered person is independent and, if applicable, that any non-EY firms used in the group audit or external experts used have confirmed their independence to us;</li> <li>▶ Written confirmation that all covered persons are independent;</li> <li>▶ Details of any inconsistencies between FRC Ethical Standard and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy;</li> <li>▶ Details of any contingent fee arrangements for non-audit services provided by us or our network firms; and</li> <li>▶ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.</li> </ul>

In addition, during the course of the audit, we are required to communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place, for example, when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future services that have been contracted, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services that has been submitted;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed in appropriate categories, are disclosed

## Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including the principal threats, if any. We have adopted the safeguards noted below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective. However we will only perform non-audit services if the service has been pre-approved in accordance with your policy.

### Overall Assessment

Overall, we consider that the safeguards that have been adopted appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Maria Grindley (AP), your audit engagement partner and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

### Self interest threats

A self interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in the Authority. Examples include where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with you. At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services and we will comply with the policies that you have approved.

None of the services are prohibited under the FRC's ES or the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 and the services have been approved in accordance with your policy on pre-approval. The ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees is not permitted to exceed 70%.

At the time of writing, there are no non-audit fees associated with Hampshire. No additional safeguards are required.

A self interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to you. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, has objectives or is rewarded in relation to sales to you, in compliance with Ethical Standard part 4.

There are no other self interest threats at the date of this report.

### Self review threats

Self review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no self review threats at the date of this report.

### Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of the Authority. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service in relation to which management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.



## Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

### Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

## Other communications

### EY Transparency Report 2019

Ernst & Young (EY) has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes in place within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this Report is for the year ended 1 July 2018 and can be found here:

<https://www.ey.com/uk/en/about-us/ey-uk-transparency-report-2018>



09

# Appendices



## Appendix A

# Fees

Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) has published the fee scale for the audit of the 2018-19 accounts of opted-in principal local government and police bodies.

This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice and supporting guidance published by the National Audit Office, the financial reporting requirements set out in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting published by CIPFA/LASAAC, and the professional standards applicable to auditors' work.

	Planned fee 2018/19	Final Fee 2017/18
	£	£
Total Fee	27,893*	36,225
Total audit	27,893*	36,225

All fees exclude VAT

\*PSAA has set the 2018/19 fee scale on the basis that individual scale audit fees for all opted-in bodies have been reduced by 23 per cent from the fees applicable for 2017/18. This gives opted-in bodies the benefit of the cost savings achieved in the recent audit procurement,

The agreed fee presented is based on the following assumptions:

- ▶ Officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- ▶ Our accounts opinion and value for money conclusion being unqualified;
- ▶ Appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Authority; and
- ▶ The authority has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Authority in advance.





Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.



## Appendix B




### Required communications with the Standards and Governance committee

We have detailed in the table below the communications that we must provide to the Standards and Governance Committee.

		 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the Standards and Governance Committee of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified.	Audit planning report – January 19
Significant findings from the audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures</li> <li>• Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit</li> <li>• Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management</li> <li>• Written representations that we are seeking</li> <li>• Expected modifications to the audit report</li> <li>• Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19





## Appendix B

### Required communications with the Standards and Governance committee (continued)

			 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where	
Going concern	<p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty</li> <li>• Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements</li> <li>• The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19	
Misstatements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion, unless prohibited by law or regulation</li> <li>• The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods</li> <li>• A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected</li> <li>• Corrected misstatements that are significant</li> <li>• Material misstatements corrected by management</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19	
Fraud	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enquiries of the Standards and Governance Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity</li> <li>• Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist</li> <li>• A discussion of any other matters related to fraud</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19	
Related parties	<p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-disclosure by management</li> <li>• Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions</li> <li>• Disagreement over disclosures</li> <li>• Non-compliance with laws and regulations</li> <li>• Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19	

## Appendix B





# Required communications with the Standards and Governance committee(continued)

		 Our Reporting to you
 Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where
Independence	<p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The principal threats</li> <li>• Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness</li> <li>• An overall assessment of threats and safeguards</li> <li>• Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence</li> </ul> <p>For public interest entities and listed companies, communication of minimum requirements as detailed in FRC's Ethical Standard 2016 (revised):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relationships between EY, the company and senior management, its affiliates and its connected parties</li> <li>• Services provided by EY that may reasonably bear on the auditors' objectivity and independence</li> <li>• Related safeguards</li> <li>• Fees charged by EY analysed into appropriate categories such as statutory audit fees, tax advisory fees, other non-audit service fees</li> <li>• A statement of compliance with the Ethical Standard, including any non-EY firms or external experts used in the audit</li> <li>• Details of any inconsistencies between the Ethical Standard and Group's policy for the provision of non-audit services, and any apparent breach of that policy</li> <li>• Details of any contingent fee arrangements for non-audit services</li> <li>• Where EY has determined it is appropriate to apply more restrictive rules than permitted under the Ethical Standard</li> <li>• The Standards and Governance Committee should also be provided an opportunity to discuss matters affecting auditor independence</li> </ul>	<p>Audit planning report – Jan 19</p> <p>and</p> <p>Audit results report – July 19</p>



## Appendix B

### Required communications with the Standards and Governance committee(continued)

		 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where
External confirmations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Management's refusal for us to request confirmations</li> <li>Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19
Consideration of laws and regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off</li> <li>Enquiry of the Standards and Governance Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Standards and governance committee may be aware of</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19
Internal controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19
Representations	Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	Assurance Letter – to be requested March 19 with response by May 19
Material inconsistencies and misstatements	Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	Audit results report – July 19
Auditors report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor's report</li> </ul>	Audit results report – July 19

## Additional audit information

### Other required procedures during the course of the audit

In addition to the key areas of audit focus outlined in section 2, we have to perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards and other regulations. We outline the procedures below that we will undertake during the course of our audit.

#### Our responsibilities required by auditing standards

- Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
- Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal controls.
- Evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting.
- Evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Authority to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. Reading other information contained in the financial statements, the Standards and Governance Committee reporting appropriately addresses matters communicated by us to the Standards and Governance Committee and reporting whether it is materially inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- Maintaining auditor independence.



## Additional audit information (continued)

### Purpose and evaluation of materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the financial statements are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, in light of the surrounding circumstances, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation of it requires professional judgement and necessarily takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implicit in the definition. We would be happy to discuss with you your expectations regarding our detection of misstatements in the financial statements.

Materiality determines:

- The locations at which we conduct audit procedures to support the opinion given on the financial statements; and
- The level of work performed on individual account balances and financial statement disclosures.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all of the circumstances that may ultimately influence our judgement about materiality. At the end of the audit, we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of the audit misstatements we identify, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.